





Blood 142 (2023) 4469-4471

The 65th ASH Annual Meeting Abstracts

## POSTER ABSTRACTS

## 626.AGGRESSIVE LYMPHOMAS: PROSPECTIVE THERAPEUTIC TRIALS

Loncastuximab Tesirine Demonstrated Substantial Single-Agent Efficacy and Manageable Safety Profile in Heavily Pretreated Chinese Patients with Relapsed or Refractory (R/R) Diffuse Large B-Cell Lymphoma (DLBCL)

Ningjing Lin<sup>1</sup>, Xiuhua Sun<sup>2</sup>, Hui Zhou<sup>3</sup>, Liqun Zou, MD PhD<sup>4</sup>, Keshu Zhou, MD<sup>5</sup>, Lihong Liu<sup>6</sup>, Haiyan Yang<sup>7</sup>, Kai HU<sup>8</sup>, Qingqing Cai<sup>9</sup>, Yao Liu<sup>10</sup>, Jie Jin, MD<sup>11</sup>, Liling Zhang<sup>12</sup>, Wenyu Li<sup>13</sup>, Ye Guo<sup>14</sup>, Wei Yang<sup>15</sup>, Feng Luo<sup>16</sup>, Yanyan Li<sup>16</sup>, Mengqi Zhang<sup>16</sup>, Feinan Lu<sup>16</sup>, Yuqin Song<sup>17</sup>, Jun Zhu, PhD<sup>18</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Lymphatic Oncology, Beijing Cancer Hospital, Beijing, China

<sup>2</sup>The Second Hospital of Dalian Medical University, Dalian, China

<sup>3</sup>Hunan Cancer Hospital, The Affiliated Cancer Hospital of Xiangya School of Medicine, Central South University, Changsha, China

<sup>4</sup>West China Hospital of Sichuan University, Chengdu, China

<sup>5</sup>Department of Hematology, Cancer Hospital Affiliated to Zhengzhou University, Henan Cancer Hospital, Zhengzhou, China

<sup>6</sup>The Fourth Hospital of Hebei Medical University, Hebei, China

<sup>7</sup> Department of Lymphatic Oncology, Zhejiang Cancer Hospital, Hangzhou, China

<sup>8</sup>Department of Adult Lymphoma, Beijing Boren Hospital, Beijing, China

<sup>9</sup> Sun Yat-Sen University Cancer Cente, Guangzhou, China

<sup>10</sup>Chongqing University Cancer Hospital, Chongqing, China

<sup>11</sup>Department of Hematology, The First Affiliated Hospital of Zhejiang University School of Medicine, Hangzhou, China

<sup>12</sup>Cancer Center, Union Hospital, Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan, China

<sup>13</sup>Guangdong Provincial People's Hospital, Guangzhou, China

<sup>14</sup>Shanghai East Hospital, Shanghai, China

<sup>15</sup>Department of Hematology, Shengjing Hospital of China Medical University, Shenyang, China

<sup>16</sup>Overland Pharmaceuticals, Shanghai, China

<sup>17</sup> Department of Lymphoma, Key Laboratory of Carcinogenesis and Translational Research (Ministry of Education/Beijing), Peking University Cancer Hospital & Institute, Beijing, China

<sup>18</sup>Peking University Cancer Hospital, Beijing, China

**Introduction:** Patients with R/R DLBCL who failed multi-agent chemoimmunotherapies usually have a poor prognosis, leaving a significant unmet medical need. Loncastuximab tesirine (Lonca) is an antibody-drug conjugate, composed of a humanized anti-CD19 antibody conjugated to a pyrrolobenzodiazepine dimer toxin that showed clinical efficacy and manageable safety in heavily pretreated DLBCL patients in a global phase 2 study of Lonca monotherapy (NCT03075696). Here we present results from a phase 2 study (ChiCTR2300072058) of Lonca monotherapy in Chinese patients with R/R DLBCL for the first time, including analyses of responses in high-risk DLBCL subgroups.

**Methods**: This open-label, single-arm, phase 2 study was designed to confirm the safety, efficacy and PK profiles of Lonca monotherapy in Chinese patients with R/R DLBCL who had failed  $\geq$  2 lines of systemic therapies. The primary endpoint was overall response rate (ORR) as assessed by IRC according to the Lugano 2014 criteria. Secondary efficacy endpoints include complete response rate (CRR), DOR, RFS, PFS, and OS. Treatment-emergent adverse events (TEAEs) were reported by CTCAE v4.0.

**Results:** As of data cutoff (11-Jan-2023), 64 Chinese patients with DLBCL were enrolled and received at least one dose of Lonca (median: 4.0 cycles [range: 1-17]) and were evaluable for safety and efficacy analyses. The median number of prior lines of therapies was 3.0 (range: 2-12), with 67.2% of patients having  $\geq$  3 lines of prior therapies. Four patients (6.3%) had received prior CD19 CAR-T therapy. Forty-three patients (67.2%) were refractory to the first-line therapy (primary refractory), 56 patients (87.5%) were refractory to the most recent line of therapy and 40 patients (62.5%) were refractory to all prior lines of therapies. At data cutoff, the median follow-up was 8.5 months. The ORR by IRC was 51.6% (95% CI: 38.7% to 64.2%), and CRR was 23.4%. The median DOR (mDOR) was 6.37 months as assessed either by IRC or by investigator. For patients with a CR, the

## POSTER ABSTRACTS

## Session 626

mDOR was 10.22 months (6.08 months for patients with PR). The median time to first response was 41.0 days. The median PFS and median OS were 4.96 and 9.33 months, respectively.

Responses, including CRs, were observed in several high-risk subgroups (Fig. 1): ORR was 54.7% in patients with advanced stage disease (Stage III/IV) and 50.0% in patients with transformed disease. Patients who were refractory to first-line therapy, to the most recent therapy, or to all prior therapies had ORRs of 44.2%, 51.8% and 42.5%, respectively. Three patients who had received a prior SCT had an ORR of 100%. Lonca was also effective in elderly patients  $\geq$  65 years (ORR of 45.8%, mDOR of 6.37 months) and in patients who had received prior CD19 CAR-T therapy (ORR of 50%).

Overall, 64 (100%) patients had at least one TEAE and 61 (95.3%) patients had at least one TEAE  $\geq$  Grade 3. The most common ( $\geq$ 30%) all-grade TEAEs were GGT increased (71.9%), followed by anaemia (70.3%), platelet count decreased (65.6%), AST increased (64.1%), WBC count decreased (64.1%), neutrophil count decreased (60.9%), ALT increased (51.6%), hypokalaemia (37.5%), and blood ALP increased (32.8%). The most common ( $\geq$ 15%) TEAEs of Grade  $\geq$ 3 were platelet count decreased (34.4%), neutrophil count decreased (28.1%), WBC count decreased (28.1%), GGT increased (25.0%), anaemia (18.8%), hypokalaemia (18.8%), neutrophil count decreased (28.1%), GGT increased (25.0%), anaemia (18.8%), hypokalaemia (18.8%), neutropenia (17.2%), and lymphocyte count decreased (15.6%). The rate of febrile neutropenia was low (3.1%). The majority of Grade  $\geq$  3 TEAEs were abnormalities of clinical laboratory values as opposed to clinical symptoms and were generally reversible. Treatment-related TEAEs leading to treatment discontinuation occurred in 10 patients (15.6%), most commonly GGT increased (3 patients; 4.7%). No increase in toxicity was seen in patients aged  $\geq$ 65 years compared with younger patients.

**Conclusions:** Lonca demonstrated substantial and clinically meaningful single-agent efficacy and was well-tolerated in heavily pretreated Chinese patients with R/R DLBCL. Toxicities were generally manageable and reversible in most patients by routine clinical practice and/or with dose modifications, with neither unexpected safety concerns nor different toxicity profile in patients aged  $\geq$ 65 years. Encouraging and durable responses were also observed in high-risk patient groups, including patients with advanced stage, transformed or refractory DLBCL.

**Disclosures** No relevant conflicts of interest to declare.

Eig 1	Enroct	<b>Diot of</b>	ODD by	Subaroup
FIG. I.	FUIESL	FILLO	UKK DV	Subuloup

Subgroup	n/N	(	ORR (95% CI)
All	33/64	·	51.6 (38.7, 64.2)
Age			
<65	22/40	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	55.0 (38.5, 70.7)
65<= and <75 years	10/19	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	52.6 (28.9, 75.6)
>= 75 years	1/5		20.0 (0.5, 71.6)
Sex			
Female	9/22		40.9 (20.7, 63.6)
Male	24/42		57.1 (41.0, 72.3)
Response to First Line			
Relapse	14/21		66.7 (43.0, 85.4)
Refractory	19/43	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	44.2 (29.1, 60.1)
Response to Last Line			
Relapse	4/8	<u> </u>	50.0 (15.7, 84.3)
Refractory	29/56		51.8 (38.0, 65.3)
Response to Any Line			
Relapse	16/24		66.7 (44.7, 84.4)
Refractory	17/40		42.5 (27.0, 59.1)
Prior systemic therapies		2.5	
2 prior lines	10/21		47.6 (25.7, 70.2)
3 prior lines	9/23		39.1 (19.7, 61.5)
>3 prior lines	14/20		70.0 (45.7, 88.1)
WHO Classification			10.0 (10.1, 00.1)
DLBCL, NOS	33/63		52.4 (39.4, 65.1)
Hi-Grade B Lym	0/1		NA ( NA, NA)
Double/Triple Hit			101(101, 101)
Yes	0/1		NA (NA, NA)
No	33/63	0	52.4 (39.4, 65.1)
Transformed Disease	55/05		52.4 (53.4, 65.1)
Transformed	6/12		50.0 (21.1, 78.9)
De novo	27/52	1	51.9 (37.6, 66.0)
	21132		51.9 (57.0, 00.0)
Cell-of-origin GCB	6/20		200/110 54.2)
ABC	4/6		30.0 (11.9, 54.3) 66.7 (22.3, 95.7)
	4/0		00.7 (22.3, 95.7)
Double/Triple Express	0/44		272/00 040
Yes	3/11 -		27.3 (6.0, 61.0)
No	30/53		56.6 (42.3, 70.2)
Prior radiotherapy	7/04	······································	000/110 570
Yes	7/21		33.3 (14.6, 57.0)
No	26/43		60.5 (44.4, 75.0)
Prior surgery	10101		
Yes	18/34	no	52.9 (35.1, 70.2)
No	15/30		50.0 (31.3, 68.7)
Prior SCT	12/12/1		
Yes	3/3	()	100.0 (29.2, 100.0)
No	30/61		49.2 (36.1, 62.3)
Prior CAR-T			
Yes	2/4 -		50.0 (6.8, 93.2)
No	31/60		51.7 (38.4, 64.8)
Maximal longest diamet	er		
<=5 cm	23/38		60.5 (43.4, 76.0)
>5 to <= 7.5 cm	5/9		55.6 (21.2, 86.3)
>7.5 to <= 10 cm	3/12 -	-	25.0 (5.5, 57.2)
>10 cm	1/2 —		50.0 (1.3, 98.7)
Missing	1/3 —		33.3 (0.8, 90.6)
Disease stage			
Stage I	3/4		75.0 (19.4, 99.4)
Stage II	1/7 —	·	14.3 (0.4, 57.9)
Stage III	10/15		66.7 (38.4, 88.2)
orage in			

Figure 1

https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2023-173645